

**Avancier Methods (AM)** 

**Adaptive Architecture** 

A first draft or manifesto v8

There is an address for comments at the end

It is illegal to copy, share or show this document

(or other document published at <a href="http://avancier.co.uk">http://avancier.co.uk</a>)

without the written permission of the copyright holder

#### **Contents**



- ► The challenge
- ► Adaptive architecture techniques
- 20 Questions about adaptive architecture
- Relaxing version control



### Q) So what are the prices for adaptive architecture?

- A large and complex system can be grown quickly and cheaply
- ► However, adaptive architecture requires you to
  - commit to the resources needed for continuous change.
  - quickly and cheaply improve discrete Components
  - allow some temporary inconsistencies
  - refactor the overall structure of Components now and then
  - apply intelligence to the design at every level

#### Again - of course



- Man-made systems cannot evolve without any up-front design
- Executive-level forces demand some executive-level strategies and top-down direction
- Dependencies between systems can be deeply buried or hidden
- Documentation will always be needed to
  - explain complex systems, and
  - direct attention to where change impacts might be
- But agility implies if not demands
  - Division of an enterprise into loosely-coupled systems
  - Division of a system into loosely-coupled components
  - A willingness to change to one system/component at a time and tolerate inter-system/component inconsistencies for a while



## Q) Does adaptive architecture suit all kinds of system?

► It does not suit systems where component costs are high, and change costs and/or risks are high

| Kind of system         | Kinds of process                                    | Typical component costs | Typical change costs | Typical change risks |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Living system          | Biological / chemical processes                     |                         |                      | High                 |
| Documentation          | Human read, write and publish processes             | Low                     | Low                  | Low                  |
| IS - software system   | Structured data processing                          | Low                     | Low                  | Medium               |
| Human activity system  | Human-capable processes                             | Medium                  | Medium               | Medium               |
| IT - hardware system   | Devices with computer and network operating systems | High                    | Medium               | Medium               |
| Safety-critical system | Airplanes, bridges                                  | High                    | High                 | High                 |



## Q) Does generalisation count as adaptability?

- In a limited way, a B747 has an adaptive architecture
- It exists in many different versions
- But that kind of flexibility is based on
  - Specialisation by extending a generalisation
  - Adding components to a basic structure
  - Adding operations to base set of behaviours
- The additions must not compromise the generalisation
- Full adaptability means the ability to
  - Change a base structure
  - Change base operations
- You can't do that to an airplane without going back to the drawing board





- Different systems different kinds of Component:
  - 1. a read-only web site web pages
  - 2. a requirements catalogue requirements
  - 3. an enterprise architecture description architectural entities
  - 4. a software system modules or classes
  - 5. a hardware system computing and network devices
- ► The first four are all kinds of description or specification, and made of cheap Components. Descriptions are abstract; they can be replaced and refactored quickly and cheaply.
- ► The fifth is a concrete system made of expensive Components. Concrete things and expensive things cannot be so readily replaced and refactored.

# Q) How does adaptive architecture relate to traditional systems thinking?



- Adaptive architecture is about encapsulating and separating the Components of a system (which must remain coordinated)
- ► The Components should of course be
  - cohesive internally, and
  - loosely-coupled externally.
- Skilful modularisation of a system into Components is essential if we want to manage any large and complex system.





- Mostly not new
- ► It repackages some ideas of "systems thinking" in the context of
  - change management in general
  - EA change management in particular.
- It employs content management principles and techniques
  - Cf. techniques used (consciously or not) in the construction and maintenance and large and complex web sites.



## Q) How does adaptive architecture relate to Conway's law?

- Adaptive architecture includes the suggestion that
  - two loosely-coupled systems are best crafted and maintained by two different groups.
- ► This particular suggestion may be seen as a corollary to Conway's law:
  - "...organizations which design systems ... are constrained to produce designs which are copies of the communication structures of these organizations."

- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conway%27s law
  - an adage named after computer programmer Melvin Conway, who introduced the idea in 1968:



### Q) How does adaptive architecture relate to TOGAF?

- ► TOGAF is elastic, and interpretable in many ways
- But it is fair to say that it
  - represents a top-down command and control school of thinking
  - promotes compliance to goals, principles and standards declared at the top most level of the enterprise
- Adaptive architecture allows (might even encourage) innovation and deviation



#### Q) How does adaptive architecture relate to Agile Development?

- Agile software development is also a broad church
- But it is fair to say that it
  - represents a bottom up school of thinking
  - promotes empowerment of small software development teams to do what they see fit
- Adaptive enterprise architecture must expect bottom level teams will refer upwards for any
  - General design principles and standards
  - Overarching structure
  - Authority to proceed.
- But must also assume that higher level EA structure(s) may be re-factored to reflect the evolution of lower level Components



### Q) Are discrete silo systems anathema to EA?

- ► EA does regard the enterprise as a system
- ▶ The components within a system
  - cannot be entirely discrete, else the systems is not a system
  - must be consistent with critical integrity requirements
- Components that are unacceptably out of step should be recognised, and realignment put on the agenda.
- Adaptive architects must design with a view to integrity and interoperability within whatever wider scope is under management.



## Q) Is adaptive architecture like biological evolution?

#### "Evolution is cleverer than you are" Leslie Orgel

- Only by comparison with top-down command and control
- Adaptive architecture is different from true evolution
- Adaptive architecture depends on designers who continually apply intelligent design - in the light of whatever higher structure or principles are in place
- ► The overall structure is designed and refactored by architects.



## Q) How does bottom up design work?

- Q) Can we architect Components before the higher level system architecture is complete?
  - Yes.
- Q) Can we identify all bottom-level Components before higher level abstractions are designed?
  - No
  - You must expect that components will be replaced, and
  - The structure that contains them will be refactored.



## Q) What are the human resource implications?

- Q) How do we minimise the need for documentation?
  - By using the power of the human mind to study and recall the configuration of a component
  - By assigning each Component to a responsible architect or designer
- Q) How do we minimise impact analysis time?
  - Ditto
- ▶ Q) How do we minimise dependence on individuals?
  - Cooperative working (cf. "peer programming")
  - Also, common design patterns



## Q) What does valuing the human mind mean?

"One doesn't have to be a Marxist to be awed by the scale and success of early 20th century efforts to transform strong-willed human beings into docile employees." Gary Hamel

- It means delegating responsibility for
  - Understanding a Component
  - Changing a Component
- What if an individual is under employed?
  - Give them larger or more Components to maintain
  - Maximise the complexity a single person manages
- What if a Component exceeds the capacity of an individual?
  - Then divide it
  - Scope and limit the complexity a single person has to deal with



## Q) What can be done about our badly-designed systems?

- ► Is your system is over complex and not divided into Components that can be managed individually?
- ► Then the only way forward is to incrementally factor out Components that can be encapsulated and separated.

# Q) How does adaptive architecture relate to agile change management?



- ► The former helps the latter
- ▶ Q) Does adaptive architecture require agile change management?
  - Yes, you cannot wait to ensure all impacts are understood
- Q) Does agile change management require adaptive architecture?
  - No, but it helps

#### The end



- ▶ The challenge
- Adaptive architecture techniques
- 20 Questions about adaptive architecture
- Relaxing version control

Most of the quotes are taken from "Adapt" by Tim Harford 2011

Send comments to grahamberrisford@gmail.com