TOGAF in a nutshell

The rationale of TOGAF™

It is illegal to copy, share or show this document
(or other document published at http://avancier.co.uk)
without the written permission of the copyright holder
The purpose of enterprise architecture is
- to optimize across the enterprise
- the often fragmented legacy of processes (both manual and automated)
- into an integrated environment...

TOGAF is intended to be a framework for
- “conducting enterprise architecture.”
- “managing the spectrum of change required to transform an enterprise towards a target operating model”
- [defined by] the necessary level of
- business process integration and
- business process standardization.

An idea TOGAF takes from “EA as Strategy” by MIT authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Operating model” for core business processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low standardisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EA ends and means

► To improve business systems,
  ■ improve business data quality, relevance and use.

► To optimise business systems and increase agility
  ■ tidy up the mess of duplicated and overlapping systems by standardisation and integration.

► To help understanding and change impact analysis
  ■ maintain an abstract description of business roles and processes and the systems they use

► To minimise business risks and maximise opportunities
  ■ keep an eye on information system & technology evolution, and produce road maps where needed

"the EA is permanent and manages the EA artefacts delivered by projects." TOGAF
EA domains

- EA is about the efficient & effective use of digital information systems by business roles & processes.
  
  "the effective management & exploitation of information through IT" TOGAF

- The EA is an abstract description of business roles & processes & the information systems & technologies they use.
  
  "the EA is permanent and manages the EA artefacts delivered by projects." TOGAF
ADM – the core process, the essence of TOGAF

“a framework for… managing the spectrum of change required to transform an enterprise”

from a baseline architecture to a target architecture.

Figure 5-1 Architecture Development Cycle
The Architecture Definition Document (ADD)

► You need an ADD to comply with the overriding mission statement of The Open Group to produce
  ■ Vendor-neutral specifications.
  ■ Portable specifications
► So stakeholders can sign off a vendor/technology-neutral architecture specification.

► Artefacts in the ADD populate the
  ■ “Architecture Continuum”
  ■ “Architecture Repository”
Architecture building blocks are “logical”

► An abstract specification of an enterprise /system that is
  ■ Sufficient to describe the essence of the business and its rules
  ■ But sufficiently abstract – or logical - to be portable
  ■ Composed of Architecture Building Blocks which “describe the functionality … without the detail introduced by configuration or detailed design.”

► The basis of the “enterprise architecture” to be maintained and governed after solutions have been implemented.
Solution building blocks are “physical”

E: “The first phase… concerned with … how the Target Architecture will be implemented.”
“[Identifies] SBBs which could address gaps and their associated ABBs.”
“Physical elements in an EA may still be considerably abstracted from Solution Architecture, design, or implementation views.”

“Changes to the ABBs and interfaces will require architecture co-operation.”
“Changes to the SBBs are not a major issue as long as the interfaces and business rules are respected, and the SBB is made available across the organization.”

“ensure conformance by implementation projects with the defined architecture”
“Gaps in the existing enterprise solutions framework need to be identified and the specific SBBs required to fill these gaps will be the identified by the solutions architects.”

“For maintenance changes - the outputs are Architecture updates”
“The solutions or their operational context may change, while the architecture specifications may not change”
Who is TOGAF for?

- "In all cases, it is expected that the architect will adapt and build on the TOGAF framework in order to define a tailored method that is integrated into the processes and organization structures of the enterprise."

TOGAF 9.1

- TOGAF can be, often is, tailored for solution architecture projects.
- But does not give solution architects all they need.
- And the ADM was written with strategic cross-organisational EA in mind.
“Much of the battle in the Preliminary Phase and Phase A is to establish the EA team as having

- board-level, strategic and cross-organisational authority,
- to put in place the top-down command and control structure
- needed for cross-organisational EA to be successful.”

Chapter 1

“horizontal - against the grain of normal vertical corporate governance.”

Chapter 32

In other words, TOGAF is for EA, and EA is for strategic and cross-organisational change.
Remember TOGAF was initially written for EA

If you view TOGAF only as a project or change management framework for solution or infrastructure architects, you don’t really get the intention, because:

“TOGAF is intended to be a framework for

- “conducting enterprise architecture.”
- “managing the spectrum of change required to transform an enterprise towards a target operating model”

“operating model” of core business processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrated</th>
<th>Coordinated</th>
<th>Unified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diversified</td>
<td>Replicated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standardised
TOGAF expects enterprise architects to

1. “optimize across the enterprise the often fragmented legacy of processes (both manual and automated) into an integrated environment…”
2. “EA regards the enterprise as a system or system of systems.”
3. “The architecture crosses multiple systems, and multiple functional groups within the enterprise.”
4. “EA structures the business planning into an integrated framework…”
5. “… that is responsive to change and supportive of the delivery of the business strategy.”
6. “a structuring of Architecture Building Blocks (ABBs) which are reusable architecture assets.”
7. “Architecture assets … are applicable across the entire scope of the EA.”
8. “Subsequent executions will be easier, as more and more architecture assets… populate the organization’s Architecture Repository.”
9. “a procurement specification that [enables] open systems”

1. Tidy up the mess of point solutions in the enterprise
2. Treat the whole enterprise as a system
3. Build a cross-organisational picture of the enterprise
4. Integrate the enterprise
5. Enable business agility
6. Facilitate reuse
7. Reuse assets across the enterprise
8. Use successive architecture development cycles to populate the [abstract] architecture repository
9. With portable vendor/technology neutral specifications
EA is expected to – maintain a repository

1. Tidy up the mess of point solutions in the enterprise
2. Treat the whole enterprise as a system
3. Build a cross-organisational picture of the enterprise
4. Integrate the enterprise
5. Enable business agility
6. Facilitate reuse
7. Reuse assets across the enterprise
8. Use successive architecture development cycles to populate the [abstract] architecture repository
9. With portable vendor/technology neutral specifications

“the EA is permanent and manages the EA artefacts delivered by projects.”

TOGAF
1. Tidy up the mess of point solutions in the enterprise
2. Treat the whole enterprise as a system
3. Build a cross-organisational picture of the enterprise
4. Integrate the enterprise
5. Enable business agility
6. Facilitate reuse
7. Reuse assets across the enterprise
8. Use successive architecture development cycles to populate the [abstract] architecture repository
9. With portable vendor/technology neutral specifications
Let’s go back, way back

► TOGAF reflects the philosophy of TOG
1993-1996: the formation of The Open Group

► An end to the Unix wars…

► In 1993, Novell transferred the UNIX trademark and certification rights to the X/Open Consortium.

► In 1996, X/Open merged with Open Software Foundation, creating the Open Group.

► Open Group standards now define what is a Unix® operating systems
  ■ qualified to use the UNIX trademark.
Starting in 1998, the Open Group and IEEE started the Austin Group, to provide a common definition of POSIX and the Single UNIX Specification.

| External logic | POSIX.1 describes an operating system by its external behavior  
|                | as an interface to services (in C source code)  
|                | without regard to internal components or structure |
| Internal implementation | POSIX-compliant operating systems can, internally, implement the same interface specification in whatever manner is appropriate. |
The philosophy of the Open Group is

- **Open standards**
  - Public domain
  - Backed by industry

- Like the POSIX and UNIX standards
  - Service-oriented
  - Vendor and technology-neutral

- With the idea that systems should be
  - Interoperable
  - Portable
Pressure to define an IT architecture framework

► The Clinger Cohen act
► dictated how every US federal government agency should reform its IT management
► established in law that the CIO of a federal agency is responsible for developing, maintaining and facilitating the implementation of a sound and integrated IT architecture.

► This and other drivers put pressure on enterprises to
  ■ tidy up their messy IT estate,
  ■ rationalise the “building blocks” that provide IT services
  ■ document them in a vendor-neutral way
Vendor-neutral technology specification

- USA federal government guidance advised agencies to have
  - Standards
  - A Technical Reference model (TRM)

| External logic | A TRM describes an enterprise’s infrastructure technologies by their external behavior  
|               | - the platform services they provide  
|               | - without regard to their implementation by any specific vendor or technology |

| Internal implementation | TRM-compliant technologies can implement the TRM in whatever manner is appropriate to the enterprise |

- By following the service-oriented philosophy of The Open Group
- A TRM assists in vendor-neutral technology procurement
So, TOGAF was built around a service-oriented TRM

- TOGAF (v 1 to 7) was primarily about describing the IT services a business needs - at a more abstract level than source code - in portable and interoperable IT services specification.

- Then using that specification to
  - tidy up a messy IT estate,
  - rationalise the “building blocks” that provide IT services
  - document and procure them in a vendor-neutral way
2002, TOGAF 8 embraced business architecture

- Adopted IE-style "structured analysis" for business architecture

- TOGAF takes a holistic view
- IT systems exist to provide information services to businesses
- A business exists to provide business services to external entities
TOGAF’s view of Business Architecture

- The business architecture is the primary architecture.
- But the focus is on business roles and processes supported by IS.
- (rather than on human nature, management culture or organisation design)
TOGAF’s 3 architecture domains and 6 essential entities

This 3-layer view is commonplace in architecture frameworks.
Today, TOGAF is centered on the business app portfolio

- Business apps are at the heart of the TOGAF meta model
- IT technology / infrastructure / operations are addressed only lightly
- As word counts suggest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>5,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>2,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View</td>
<td>1,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data + Information</td>
<td>1,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application (business)</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System (mostly business app)</td>
<td>1,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>1,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process (mostly business)</td>
<td>1,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technol....</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function (mostly business)</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capability</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT (embraces IS)</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interop + integrat</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actor</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strateg</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business process</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise arc…</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution arc…</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Open Group is
- “a vendor-neutral and technology-neutral consortium,
- whose vision of Boundaryless Information Flow™
- will enable access to integrated information,
- within and among enterprises,
- based on open standards and global interoperability.”

Hence, TOGAF aims to help enterprises avoid or overcome:
1. Vendor and/or technology-dependence
2. Data locked in inaccessible silos
3. Data disintegrity
4. Applications that cannot share data
5. Applications that cannot be ported between technology environments.
The Boundaryless Information Flow™

1. A trademark of The Open Group.

2. A shorthand representation of “access to integrated information to support business process improvements”

representing a desired state of an enterprise’s infrastructure specific to the business needs of the organization.

An infrastructure that provides Boundaryless Information Flow has open standard components that provide services in a customer’s extended enterprise that:

- Combine multiple sources of information
- Securely deliver the information whenever and wherever it is needed, in the right context for the people or systems using that information.”
The Boundaryless Information Flow™

► More memorable as the US Air Force concept of
► "A5 Interoperability"

► The required data is available
  ▪ Any time,
  ▪ Any where, and in
  ▪ Any way, by
  ▪ Any one, who is
  ▪ Authorized
“The purpose of enterprise architecture is
► to optimize across the enterprise
► the often fragmented legacy of processes (both manual and automated)
► into an integrated environment…”

“TOGAF is intended to be a framework for
■ “conducting enterprise architecture.”
■ “managing the spectrum of change required to transform an enterprise towards a target operating model”
■ [defined by] the necessary level of
■ business process integration and
■ business process standardization.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Operating model” for core business processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low standardisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High standardisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Footnotes

► There is much more explanation of TOGAF at avancier.co.uk

► And the TOGAF tutor’s dilemma follows here >
TOGAF is a management framework for EA

► A challenge for EA
■ Can you get enough business management engagement to do EA, and so use TOGAF as intended?

► A challenge for SA
■ Does TOGAF give solution architects what they want?

► "As an open framework, [selective] use is encouraged....
► In all cases, it is expected that the architect will adapt and build on the TOGAF framework in order to define a tailored method that is integrated into the processes and organization structures of the enterprise."

TOGAF 9.1
Ad hoc use of TOGAF is fine

- Elements of TOGAF are in used
  - solution architecture
  - business analysis
  - even technical architecture.

- But a method written for cross-organisational and strategic EA cannot provide everything a solution architect wants.

- Moreover, TOGAF cannot definitely link EA to
  - Business Planning
  - PMO
  - SDLC
  - ITSM

TOGAF has no idea what methods you are using for those.
“four management frameworks...have to work closely together...”

► Business Planning
  ■ conceives, directs, and provides the resources for all activities required to achieve concrete business objectives/outcomes.

► Enterprise Architecture [EA]
  ■ structures and gives context to activities delivering concrete business outcomes, primarily but not exclusively in the IT domain.

► Portfolio/Project Management [PMO]
  ■ co-ordinates, designs, and builds the business systems that deliver the concrete business outcomes.

► Operations Management [ITSM]
  ■ integrates, operates, and maintains the deliverables that deliver the concrete business.
Adapting TOGAF can take it away from EA

Consultants, solution and technical architects commonly

► bend TOGAF to tactical solution architecture
  ■ rather than for enterprise architecture

► use TOGAF in a selective and sketchy way
  ■ rather than follow the ADM process steps

► are given SBBs to implement
  ■ rather than define logical ABBs and then select best-fit physical SBBs.

► do not populate the “Architecture Continuum” with logical, portable and reusable ABB specifications, or leave behind any persistent and maintainable EA

“‘the EA is permanent and manages the EA artefacts delivered by projects.” TOGAF
So, the TOGAF tutor’s dilemma

- Should I teach TOGAF for cross-organisational EA, as it is intended?

- Or should I teach TOGAF as a generic management process you can adapt for any large-scale change project that requires architectural thinking and documentation?